

Fall Forum Rubric 2008: Interest Group

	Score of 1	Score of 2	Score of 3	Score of 4
Interest Group Learner Outcomes (question 1)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - No description of the group to be convened - No rationale for why the group should meet - No description of why these practices are important. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Incomplete or unclear description of the nature of the group - Incomplete or unclear rationale for why the group should meet - Incomplete description of why these practices are important. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Clear description of the group that will be convened - Clear and compelling rationale for why the group should meet - Clear description of why these practices are important. - Session content is compelling and relates to the conference theme or focus topics 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Clear description of the group that will be convened - Clear and compelling rationale for why the group should meet - Clear description of why these practices are important. - Session content is compelling and relates to the conference theme or focus topics
Common Principle (question 2)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Description provides virtually no information or provides only disjointed details of how the session will address and create better understanding of one Common Principle OR provides broad generalizations, slogans, or conclusions, but none are elaborated. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Description provides some elaboration of how the session (outcomes, format) addresses, is relevant to, and creates better understanding of one Common Principle among participants. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Description provides substantial elaboration of how the session (outcomes, format) addresses, is relevant to, and creates better understanding of one Common Principle among participants. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Description provides substantial and accurate elaboration of how the session (outcomes, format) addresses, is relevant to, and creates better understanding of one Common Principle among participants. The description includes details that demonstrate a deep, nuanced understanding of the Common Principle.
Interest Group Format (question 3)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Statement of techniques incomplete or not likely to generate meaningful discussion AND - Facilitation strategies are not clear and not aligned with stated outcomes 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Statement of techniques incomplete or not likely to generate meaningful discussion OR - Facilitation strategies are not clear and not aligned with stated outcomes 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Clear statement of techniques likely to generate meaningful discussion AND - Facilitation strategies are clear and aligned with stated outcomes 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Clear statement of techniques likely to generate meaningful discussion - Facilitation strategies are clear and aligned with stated outcomes - Format will increase participation among attendees and will contribute to the flow of knowledge between and among participants and facilitators
Session Summary (question 4)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - None or one of the following conditions are met: - Clear, coherent description of what participants should expect at this session - Is provocative and compelling/relevant - Includes information about the facilitator/organization and target audience 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Only two of the following conditions are met: - Clear, coherent description of what participants should expect at this session - Is provocative and compelling/relevant - Includes information about the facilitator/organization and target audience 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - All three of the following conditions are met: - Clear, coherent description of what participants should expect at this session - Is provocative and compelling/relevant - Includes information about the facilitator/organization and target audience 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - All three of the following conditions are met AND the summary provides a level of richness beyond simple explanation and/or relates to the conference theme or focus topics: - Clear, coherent description of what participants should expect at this session - Is provocative and compelling/relevant - Includes information about the facilitator/organization and target audience

SCORING SYSTEM:

- Applications that score between 13 - 16 will be accepted.
- Applications that score between 10 - 12 will require a second review. Applicants may be contacted to resubmit the proposal. The second review will consider the application in relation to other proposals in an effort to provide a balanced, diverse, and relevant Fall Forum program.
- Applications that score under 10 will not be accepted.